

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/695,184	SUERMONDT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chun Cao	2115	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Pending(1) Chun Cao. (3) _____.(2) Alan D. Christenson (54,036). (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 30 August 2004**Time:** 11:00am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 3-11 and 13-29

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Restriction & Election was discussed pertaining to claims 1-29. Applicant elected Group I (claims 1, 3-11, 13-22) without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I. Applicant agreed to cancel Group II (claims 23-29) in order to place the application in condition for allowance.